SOME COMMENTS ON 'CONCENTRATION IN ASIA'S CROSS-BORDER BANKING' BY LAPID, MERCADO AND ROSENKRANZ

Ole Rummel

orummel@seacen.org The SEACEN Centre 14 July 2021

CONFIDENTIAL – Do not distribute without written permission from The SEACEN Centre. © 2021 The South East Asian Central Banks (SEACEN) Research and Training Centre. All Rights Reserved.



D と Π S outh search Q an S O \triangleright S Training an \bigcirc Π t (entre b \square anks



QUICK SUMMARY

- The authors are the first to construct cross-border bank concentration measures for 47 economies in Asia-Pacific from 2000 to 2019
- These measures for are high compared to other regions
- High concentrations of cross-border bank claims and liabilities amongst a few counterparties expose economies to financial spillovers and risks, i.e., risks to monetary and financial stability...
- ...which may warrant an appropriate policy response
- Using these data, the empirical assessment of the determinants and impacts of cross-border bank concentration reveal that:
 - higher capital account openness, trade and per capita income are significantly associated with lower cross-border bank concentration; while
 - higher cross-border bank concentration lowers domestic credit growth and non-performing loans





INITIAL REACTIONS

- Paper represents the type of solid, thorough and comprehensive analysis we have come to expect from the authors
- It serves a useful task, which is to highlight several potential – new – issues and concerns having to do with concentration in Asia's cross-border banking...
- ...which is obviously an important topic for monetary and financial stability
- But it represents a view from 10,000 meters for now...
- ...which points the way towards additional research avenues that we should explore
- It therefore serves as a useful starting point for individual country analyses





METHODOLOGY

- Nothing to fault in terms of set-up and methodology
- Careful panel analysis with a large number of robustness and sensitivity checks
- In terms of some thoughts I had:
 - rolling window of ten years?
 - recursive estimation?

to capture some of the time-variation in the determinants of – and impacts from – cross-border banking concentration



CHEAP SHOTS

- Results are driven by a panel methodology across 47 heterogenous countries
- No 'one size fits all'...
- ...but the authors are obviously aware of this
- The banking sector is not homogeneous either:
 - private-sector banks;
 - state-owned banks, including national development banks; and
 - the existence of national champions (with preferred status)
- Informal lending, micro-lending and non-bank financial institutions
- More on the mechanisms at work that explain the results?



IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO...

- ...investigate additional dependent variables in equation (3), such as:
 - remittances;
 - some proxy for US dollar funding;
 - macroprudential policy tools;
 - some proxy for foreign exchange trading, business or turnover (I have a small amount of experience with banking in the Pacific Islands where banking is dominated by Australian banks doing a nice business in FX transactions); and
 - the role of branches versus subsidiaries
- ...investigate what an 'optimal' level of cross-border bank concentration should be?



POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- The policy implications of higher capital account and trade openness and financial market development, , are standard (and laudable)...
- ...and capture the threats coming from an increased vulnerability to external policy and shock transmission...
- ...but may not be popular at the moment (policy dilemma)
- The same is true for some of the other policy prescriptions, such as financial market development, the development of localcurrency bond markets, the broadening of the local and regional investor base and the provision of a regional financial safety net



WHY ONLY A STARTING POINT?

- Some of the findings definitely warrant further analysis
- Some counterintuitive (to me, at least) and inconclusive results:
 - the estimated coefficient on domestic bank concentration is inconclusive; and
 - there does not seem to be an impact on bank profitability
- Authors themselves note on p. 17 that "An aggregate-level analysis may not capture such effects"



CONTACT US

EMAIL orummel@seacen.org

PHONE NUMBER +603 91951888

WEBSITE & SOCIAL

www.seacen.org



CONFIDENTIAL – Do not distribute without written permission from The SEACEN Centre. © 2021 The South East Asian Central Banks (SEACEN) Research and Training Centre. All Rights Reserved.



