CIRCULAR NO. _____ Series of 2023

The Monetary Board, in its Resolution No. ____ dated____, approved the guidelines on operational resilience in line with the BSP's thrust to foster continued delivery of services to support inclusive and sustainable economic growth. The Circular aims to promote and strengthen the operational resilience of BSP- supervised financial institutions (BSFIs) or their ability to manage and mitigate the impact of shocks or disruptions particularly on their critical operations.

Section 1. Section ____ of the Manual of Regulations for Banks (MORB) and Section ___ of the Manual of Regulations for Non-Bank Financial Institutions (MORNBFI) shall be created to provide the guidelines on operational resilience.

_____. Guidelines on Operational Resilience.

I. Policy Statement

Consistent with the Bangko Sentral's mandate to promote financial stability and the safety and soundness of the banking system, one of the BSP's strategic objectives is to strengthen the operational resilience of its supervised financial institutions or their ability to manage and mitigate the impact of shocks or disruptions particularly on their critical operations. This is in line with the BSP's thrust to foster continued delivery of services to support inclusive and sustainable economic growth.

The critical role of BSFIs in the smooth functioning of the real economy, amidst the increasing digitalization and evolving operational disruptions or hazards that could disrupt the normal operations of the financial system underscore the growing importance of operational resilience. Cognizant that these disruptive events, such as pandemic, natural disasters and/or cyberattacks will happen, the BSFI's ability to respond to and

recover from these disruptions will lessen the impact on its viability and delivery of critical operations and related services. BSFIs must set their tolerance for disruption and map out and test their plans to ensure that it can deliver critical operations through disruptions. In this regard, BSFIs are expected to be operationally resilient and develop an operational resilience framework that is commensurate to their size, nature and complexity of operations, overall risk profile, systemic importance and role in the financial system.

II. Scope of Application.

This Circular shall apply to all BSFIs. Accordingly, BSFIs shall prepare their operational resilience framework on a solo and group-wide basis, as applicable. The group-wide operational resilience framework shall encompass the parent bank and all its material entities, including subsidiary banks. In crafting the operational resilience framework, the BSFI should consider the key elements and principles embodied in this Circular.

Foreign bank branches may refer to the operational resilience framework of their respective Head Offices and adopt relevant policies and procedures that are consistent with the applicable provisions of this Section and commensurate to the scale of their operations in the Philippines.

III. Definition of Terms¹

- a. Operational resilience is the ability of a BSFI to rise above and deliver critical operations through significant operational disruption that affects its viability and role in the financial system. This encompasses the BSFI's ability to identify, mitigate, plan, respond, restore and learn from disruptive events to minimize impact on delivery of critical operations through disruptions.
- b. Critical operations include critical functions and involve activities, processes, services and their relevant supporting assets the disruption of which could cause material harm to the customers or to the continued operation or viability of the BSFI and/or its role in the financial system. Supporting assets refer to people, technology, information, and facilities

¹ Largely drawn from the March 2021 Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Principles for Operational Resilience

necessary for the delivery of critical operations. Determining whether a particular operation is "critical" depends on the nature of the BSFI and its participation in the financial system. This may include internal process, IT systems, clearing and settlement facilities and outsourced services necessary for the delivery of critical operations, among others. A BSFI's tolerance for disruption is applied at the critical operations level.

- c. Critical functions refer to activities performed for third parties, including external customers, where failure would lead to the disruption of services that are vital for the BSFI's viability, the functioning of the economy or for financial stability due to the BSFI's systemic importance, size or market share, external and internal interconnectedness, complexity, and cross-border activities. Examples include servicing of withdrawals, payments, custody, certain lending and deposit taking activities, clearing and settling.²
- d. Tolerance for disruption is the maximum level of disruption on the BSFI's critical operations arising from any type of operational risk events or hazards that the BSFI is willing to accept considering a range of severe but plausible scenarios. In determining this, it is important to consider the first point at which disruption to critical operations would cause material harm to customers or risk to market integrity and financial stability.
- e. Material entities shall refer, at a minimum, to the bank's subsidiaries and affiliates, as defined under Sec. 131 (Definition of Terms), which represent a significant portion of the balance sheet or business activities of the parent bank.

IV. Operational Resilience and Interaction with Related Processes³

a. Operational resilience is an end state or outcome wherein the BSFI demonstrates that it can continue to render its critical operations through significant disruption, reducing the impact on its customers and the financial system. This

² FSB Recovery and Resolution planning for systemically important financial institutions, guidance on identification of critical functions and critical shared services

The BSP's Operational Resilience Framework complements existing policies in the Manual of Regulations on Operational Risk Management, Business Continuity Management, IT Risk Management, Cybersecurity, Outsourcing Framework and Recovery Plan.

contributes to reinforcing the BSFI's viability amidst significant disruptions, promoting the financial system's resilience and stability and servicing the real economy. Critical to achieving this is the recognition that disruptions or operational hazards exist and will occur, necessitating forward looking assessments as well as careful planning and preparation to respond accordingly, as part of the BSFI's overall risk management. Operational resilience, therefore, is not a stand-alone objective. Rather, it needs to be integrated with the BSFI's governance structures and other related risk management processes, such as operational risk management, business continuity management, cyber resilience, outsourcing framework, and recovery plans.

- b. Operational Risk Management⁴. The operational resilience framework is intricately linked to the operational risk management function. While operational risk pertains to the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people or systems, or from external events, operational resilience views the impact of these individual factors on the ability of a BSFI to continuously deliver its critical operations. Operational resilience goes beyond reducing the likelihood of occurrence of operational risk events or the amount of operational loss that may be suffered by the BSFI. While operational risk management focuses on the losses that may be caused to the BSFI, operational resilience considers the impact of disruptions to critical operations or the harm that failure to deliver critical operations may cause to the BSFI's customers, market integrity and/or the financial system.
- c. Business Continuity Management⁵ is an essential component of operational resilience. Both support the continuous business operations when unexpected events occur. Traditional business continuity management focuses on individual points of failure while operational resilience complements this by looking into the end-to-end delivery of critical operations. BCM process such as crisis framework is activated or triggered during disruptive events, while operational resilience framework covers a broad range of capabilities, including crisis management, to achieve resilience across broad range of disruptions.

⁴ Section 146, MORB

⁵ Section 149, MORB

- d. Cybersecurity. Cybersecurity refers to technologies, processes, and practices designed to protect the BSFI's information assets and consumers including third parties by preventing, detecting, and responding to cyber-attacks. The BSFIs should continually assess cyber-related attacks and incidents relative to their computing environment and understand the evolving cyber threat landscape to institute appropriate cyber resilience capabilities. An effective resilience approach, which includes identification of critical operations and tolerance for disruption, considering the threat landscape, influences cybersecurity priorities and enhances cyber resilience, and is essential in supporting and achieving the BSFI's operational resilience.
- e. Outsourcing Framework⁶. The principles on outsourcing practices of BSFIs apply to operational resilience. Over the years, BSFIs have made increasing use of third-party services in the delivery of financial services, including those that are integral to critical operations. While outsourcing enables BSFIs to benefit from available expertise and synergies, this has contributed to heightened complexity and may reduce oversight over potential vulnerabilities in the delivery of critical operations. BSFIs which are outsourcing processes that feed into their critical operations should ensure that their service providers have at least the equivalent level of operational resilience as that set by the BSFI during "business as usual scenarios" and in the event of disruption.
- f. Recovery Plan. Recovery plan enables BSFIs to take preemptive actions to avoid breach of minimum regulatory requirements which support financial resilience. Nonetheless, BSFIs can leverage information on their recovery plan for operational resilience which covers nonfinancial risks. Critical functions and services in the recovery plan must be aligned with critical operations under operational resilience framework.

V. Key Elements of Operational Resilience

 Set the tone from the top. Governance structure covers the roles and responsibilities of the board of directors and senior management on the various stages of operational resilience,

 $^{^{6}}$ Section 112 MORB as amended by BSP Circular No. 1137, series of 2022

starting from development up to approval, implementation, and ongoing review or enhancement of the operational resilience framework. The board of directors shall be primarily responsible for the oversight and approval of the operational resilience framework as well as the roles of the three-lines of defense for operational resilience. It shall ensure that the first line or the business units and internal controls, the second line or the risk management function and compliance, and the third-line or the internal audit adhere to the principles for operational resilience. Meanwhile, the Senior Management shall take the lead in implementing the operational resilience framework, assessing the BSFI's operational resilience capability, and communicating the necessary remedial actions to the board of directors.

- 2. Determine critical operations, tolerance for disruption, and severe but plausible scenarios. The basic building blocks of operational resilience include the initial steps of identifying the BSFI's critical operations, setting of tolerance for disruption and determining the range of severe but plausible disruptive scenarios or events, of varying nature, seriousness, and duration, relevant to its business and risk profile. These are essential in prioritizing the critical operations and determining capabilities to withstand and absorb disruption within the tolerance level acceptable to the BSFI considering the range of adverse scenarios identified.
- 3. Map interconnections and dependencies. Understanding the physical operations, interconnections and dependencies allows BSFIs to identify and resolve vulnerabilities that exist in the delivery of critical operations. BSFIs should map the chain of activities in the delivery of critical operations, especially those arising from the network of interconnections and interdependencies and those that are performed by service providers through outsourcing arrangements. This will enable the BSFIs to holistically assess the sources of vulnerabilities and invest in appropriate resilience measures before disruption occurs so that BSFIS can remain within their tolerance for disruption. It also complements the development of severe but plausible scenarios that point to vulnerabilities along the processes involved.
- 4. **Plan and manage risks to critical operations.** After identifying critical operations and the related interconnections/dependencies, it is equally important to plan

and manage the material risks or events that will hamper the continuous delivery of critical operations to the customers and affect the BSFI and financial system. This is not a silo approach but rather necessitates leveraging on existing and working relevant risk management frameworks of the BSFI, for an integrated and enterprise-wide approach to managing risks associated with the delivery of critical operations. In this regard, BSFIs are expected to consider risk management components with nexus to operational resilience such as operational risk management, business continuity management, outsourcing framework, information and technology risk management, cyber resilience, and recovery plan.

- 5. Test ability to deliver critical operations amidst disruption under severe but plausible scenarios. Operational resilience builds on the continuous process of business continuity management and testing exercises to gauge the BSFI's ability to deliver critical operations through a range of severe but plausible scenarios. Recognizing that disruptions will occur, the BSFI must make an exhaustive and informed identification of disruptive events and incidents, both foreseen and unforeseen, of varying degrees, nature, duration, and plausibility that may affect the delivery of its critical operations. This should also identified the interconnections encompass interdependencies, both internal and external. The testing exercise should sharpen the personnel's operational resilience awareness and its results should inform refinements in the operational resilience framework.
- 6. Respond and recover from disruption. When a disruption occurs affecting the BSFI's critical operations, the BSFI should have the ability to respond, manage and deliver critical operations and services consistent with its integrated operational resilience framework. This should include, among others, clear delineation of the roles and responsibilities and succession of authority in the event of disruption; and an incident response plan that contains key steps to handle the disruption and assess how it will affect the BSFI's risk appetite and tolerance for disruptions. As part of its incident response plan and to strengthen its response capabilities, the BSFI should maintain a catalogue that includes inventory of incident response and recovery actions, key officers/personnel as well as internal and external resources. The incident response plan should capture the life cycle of an incident, classification of events or disruptions based on severity, response and recovery

procedures and communication plan. Also, it should be periodically tested, reviewed and updated.

7. Review, refine and update risk management and operational resilience framework. Operational resilience should be integrated with the BSFI's overall enterprise-wide risk management approach. Maintaining operational resilience posture is a continuous activity. Accordingly, the operational resilience framework must be dynamic and periodically reviewed, refined and updated to remain in line with the BSFI's risk appetite, business model and complexity, among others. There should be scheduled regular reviews or when necessary, such as when there are material changes in the operations or business activities of the BSFI.

More detailed guidelines on each of these elements are outlined in Appendix I.

- VI. Reporting and Notification Requirements. BSFIs shall disclose in their Annual Report the overarching approach to operational resilience. This includes providing an overview of the operational resilience approach, the critical operations, and key information on the operational resilience components. Moreover, the BSFI shall inform the appropriate supervising department of the Bangko Sentral within 24 hours in cases where the incident response plan for critical operations is activated. The notification shall indicate the following information, among others:
 - a. Nature, duration and root cause of the disruption;
 - b. Affected critical operations and impact of the disruption on the delivery of critical operations;
 - c. Status if tolerance for disruption is breached; and
 - d. Management's actions that have been taken and/or intended to be taken to continue delivery of the critical operations.

The Bangko Sentral may request additional information it deems warranted.

VII. Supervisory Review by the BSP. The Bangko Sentral shall review the operational resilience framework of the BSFI as part of the overall supervisory process, focusing on assessing the robustness, credibility, and feasibility of delivering critical

operations through disruption and within the BSFI's defined tolerance for disruption.

- VIII. Transitory Provisions. The following provisions on the phased implementation of the requirements of this Circular shall be incorporated as a footnote to Section ____ of the MORB/MORNBFI:
 - a. Phase 1- One year from effectivity of the Circular All BSFIs shall submit to the appropriate supervising department a transition plan using the attached Self-Assessment Questionnaire (Appendix II) comprised of a gap analysis and action plans to achieve operational resilience.
 - b. Phase 2 Two years from the effectivity of the Circular All universal and/or commercial banks, including digital banks, shall have implemented its operational resilience plan in line with its operational resilience framework and integrated the operational resilience framework within their existing risk management systems, with due consideration of the applicable requirements in this Section. Accordingly, the operational resilience framework shall be submitted to the appropriate supervising department of the Bangko Sentral on or before _____. Moreover, disclosure of key elements of operational resilience shall commence in the _____. Annual Report.
 - c. Phase 3 Three years from the effectivity of the Circular All thrift banks and rural banks shall have developed and/or integrated the operational resilience framework with their existing risk management systems, with due consideration of the applicable requirements in this Section. Accordingly, the operational resilience framework shall be submitted to the appropriate supervising department of the Bangko Sentral on or before _____ Moreover, disclosure of key elements of operational resilience shall commence in the ____ Annual Report.

Section 2. **Supervisory enforcement actions.** The Bangko Sentral may deploy appropriate supervisory enforcement actions under existing laws and regulations to promote adherence with the requirements set forth in this Circular and bring about timely remedial actions.

Section 3. Effectivity. This Circular shall take effect fifteen (15) calendar days following its publication either in the Official Gazette or in a newspaper of general circulation.

FOR THE MONETARY BOARD:

ELI M. REMOLONA, JR.Governor

____2023

KEY ELEMENTS OF OPERATIONAL RESILIENCE

Appendix to Section _____

I. Governance Structure

- The board of directors shall be primarily responsible for the oversight and approval of the operational resilience framework that enables the BSFI to identify and prepare for, respond and adapt to, and recover and learn from operational disruptions.
 - a. Operational resilience framework shall be aligned with the overall governance and risk management system of the BSFI. In this regard, the existing governance frameworks and related board/committee oversight responsibilities shall consider operational resilience in their business objectives and strategies in the lens of critical operations.
 - b. For a holistic approach, the development of operational resilience framework should be leveraged on other related elements of risk management, such as operational risk management, business continuity management, outsourcing risk management, and information technology and cybersecurity risk management, as applicable. A clear coordination and collaboration shall be established among these relevant functions to strengthen the BSFIs operational resilience.
 - c. The operational resilience framework shall clearly communicate and promote understanding of the relevant internal and external parties' roles and responsibilities with respect to the overall operational resilience approach and objectives.
- 2. The Senior Management shall implement the operational resilience framework and ensure efficient and effective allocation of resources and capabilities for this purpose.
- 3. Overall assessment of the BSFI's operational resilience capability shall be performed and reported through the Senior Management. This will allow the board of directors to

assess the BSFI's capabilities to remain within its set tolerance and deliver critical operations through disruptions. The board of directors shall periodically review and continuously gain sufficient understanding of the BSFIs operational resilience profile and capabilities through senior management's information and reports.

- 4. Remedial actions necessary to ensure that resources and capabilities to remain operationally resilient shall be timely communicated to the board of directors. This will aid in the decision-making by prioritizing activities or target investments that will help improve operational resilience or focus on critical operations which will have most significant impact when disrupted.
- 5. The board of directors shall oversee the three-lines of defense for operational resilience and ensure that these functions are operating as intended. It shall ensure that the first line or the business units and internal controls, the second line or the risk management function and compliance, and the thirdline or the internal audit adhere to the principles for operational resilience.
 - a. The first line of defense is responsible in ensuring that all the resources needed in the end-to-end delivery of critical operations are identified. This should include those provided by a third-party and in certain cases, those that are sourced by the third-party from other service providers. The first line also ensures that risk exposures are aligned with the established tolerance for disruption for each critical operation.
 - b. The second line of defense is expected to assess the endto-end activities of critical operations and their extent of exposure to operational risks. It should conduct a review to ensure that internal controls are in place for critical operations and continue to adapt to the changing environment. It should also ensure that risk exposures of the resources for critical operations are well managed to allow critical operations to remain within the tolerance for disruption.
 - c. The third line of defense assesses the design and ongoing effectiveness of the BSFI's operational resilience efforts. It should challenge the first and second lines on the

appropriateness of the resources for critical operations to operate within the tolerance for disruption.

II. Key Elements of Operational Resilience

- 1. Determine critical operations, tolerance for disruption and severe but plausible scenarios
 - a. Identifying critical operations
 - i. Criteria for identification of critical operations. BSFIs should have a board-approved criteria for identifying and prioritizing critical operations. The approach in determining critical operations may vary across the BSFIs but shall consider relevant factors, such as impact of a disruption on the operations to the BSFI's viability, its customers, or its role in the financial system. The identification process should cover the end-to-end activities necessary in delivering critical operations or services, rather than the individual people, process, or system.
 - ii. The BSFI may take into account the business outcome approach to prioritize activities or services that when disrupted will pose material risks to its viability, or systemic risk in the financial system, as well as disadvantages to the customers and stakeholders. Rather than focusing on a specific process or system of the BSFI, operational resilience entails protecting its core critical operations to ensure continuous delivery throughout disruption. BSFIs should also leverage or consider existing identification and classification of key functions or services in determining critical operations, such as those identified in the recovery plan. The number of identified critical operations should be commensurate with the BSFI's size, nature, and complexity of operations.
 - iii. Identified critical operations. The Board should approve the identified critical operations which should be proportionate to the nature, scale, and complexity of the BSFI's business. The identified critical operations will drive the subsequent steps of setting tolerance for disruption and mapping of interconnections and dependencies, among others.

iv. Operational risk management function shall be utilized to identify and assess vulnerabilities, and manage, to the extent possible, the operational risks affecting critical operations. BSFIs may also leverage other frameworks to establish linkage or distinction of critical operations with respect to operational resilience visavis business continuity management and recovery planning, among others.

The identification process should be dynamic and takes into account changes in the elements of critical operations, lessons learned from disruptions and new threats or vulnerabilities. The propriety and effectiveness of this process should be periodically assessed.

b. Setting the tolerance for disruption

- i. BSFIs shall set the tolerance for disruption on each identified critical operation. In the context of operational resilience, a disruption is assumed to occur given various severe but plausible scenarios. For this reason, a tolerance for disruption shall be set as the maximum level of disruption that the BSFI is willing to accept on its critical operations.
- ii. In establishing clearly defined tolerance for disruption, BSFIs shall consider either or both quantitative and qualitative metrics. This shall include, at a minimum, a time-based metric which generally set the acceptable timeframe or schedule that BSFIs will be able to restore the delivery of critical operations before it could pose material risk to the BSFI and identified external stakeholders. Other metrics shall be considered, such as the maximum number of customers or volume and value of transactions affected by the disruption, among others. BSFIs may also leverage other metrics utilized in existing frameworks and expound or link, as necessary with the delivery of critical operations. Tolerance for disruption should be tested against severe but plausible scenarios to determine its relevance and propriety.
- iii. Tolerance for disruption shall aid the BSFIs in assessing its overall resiliency capabilities and drive improvements

- to strengthen operational resilience, particularly when tolerance is breached upon testing.
- iv. The board of directors shall review, challenge, and approve a documented criteria on the elements of operational resilience, particularly on the (a) identification of critical operations, and (b) setting of tolerance for disruption. A mechanism should be in place to keep the criteria responsive to changes and significant developments in the business environment, in line with existing change management process.

c. Determining severe but plausible scenarios.

- i. A robust operational resilience framework entails identifying a range of a broad array of disruptive events or incidents with varying nature, degree, and duration, relevant to the BSFI's idiosyncratic risk profile and operations, and their impact to the delivery of the BSFI's identified critical operations. The scenarios must be informed by realistic assumptions distinct to the BSFI and its operating environment and business, internal or external, considering foreseen and unforeseen, but plausible occurrences.
- ii. Examples of scenarios that BSFIs are strongly encouraged to consider include the "Big One" or the worst-case scenario should the West Valley Fault move and generate a magnitude of 7.2 quake, and a simultaneous or coordinated cyberattacks/ransomware on a number of banks.

2. Map interconnections and interdependencies

- a. BSFIs should have an adequate understanding of how each of its critical operations is being delivered. The BSFI should perform an end-to-end mapping of the starting point from how the critical operation is activated up to the ultimate delivery of the service to its client. A critical operation may also involve a continuing process or iteration.
- BSFIs should be able to identify key resources to keep each critical operations running even in times of disruptions.
 These may include, but not limited to, people, processes, technology and information facilities, and other resources

- including those provided under outsourcing arrangements or by other parties concerned.
- c. Mapping should extensively identify interconnections and interdependencies of the resources needed. Doing this would allow determination of possible stress or friction points within the chain, including the impact on the use of common resources within the BSFI or even those that are external to the BSFI under certain arrangements. An acceptable mapping should identify vulnerability points and support the established tolerance for disruption for each critical operation.
- d. When a service provider is involved in the delivery of critical should have an adequate operations. the BSFI understanding of the extent of the role of the service provider, including the dependencies of other processes to the provider. The BSFI should be aware of arrangements where the service provider further engages other providers that may impact the provision of a critical operation. Identification of these interconnections interdependencies in the delivery of critical operations should inform, among others, the development of severe but plausible scenarios and resilience plan.
- e. Mapping exercise for operational resilience should be harmonized with the related activities in other risk management functions such as those in operational risk management, outsourcing framework, business continuity management as well as recovery planning activities.
- 3. Plan for and manage risks to delivery of critical operations
 - Planning is critical to the BSFI's ability to manage all material risks or events that will affect the continuous delivery of critical operations to mitigate impact to the customers, to the BSFI and the financial system. This is not a silo approach but rather necessitates leveraging on existina and working relevant risk management frameworks of the BSFI, for a holistic and integrated approach to managing risks associated with the delivery of critical operations. In this regard, BSFIs are expected to consider risk management components with nexus to operational resilience.

- b. BSFIs should utilize their operational risk management processes to continuously assess the external and internal threats, possible breakdowns in people, processes, and systems, as well as vulnerabilities in their critical operations and manage resulting risks to critical operations. The operational risk management function should work with relevant functions to mitigate risks that will hamper the delivery of critical operations. There should be periodic assessment of the adequacy of controls and procedures, particularly those affecting critical operations, including in cases of changes to the underlying components of the critical operations, to consider lessons learned from disruptive events or to account for new threats and vulnerabilities.
- c. BSFIs should utilize existing change management capabilities in line with the existing change management processes under operational risk management system to assess potential effects on the delivery of critical operations and on their interconnections and interdependencies.
- d. When outsourcing arrangement is involved, the BSFI should conduct due diligence to ensure that the service provider aligns with the operational resilience framework of the BSFI. In the same manner, the BSFI should be satisfied that the service provider conducts its own mapping process and supports the established tolerance for disruption. It should also take consider the possible concentration of services to a limited number of service providers. No arrangements should be entered into when the service provider cannot comply with the tolerance for disruption as assessed during the conduct of due diligence.
- e. Outsourcing agreements which impact critical operations should contain details of how critical operations should be maintained or serviced during disruptions or an exit strategy in case the service provider is not able to deliver the service. The BSFI should identify alternative arrangements including identifying substitutes or even performing the service back within the BSFI.
- f. Dependencies on public infrastructure, such as telecommunications, transportation, and energy, should be assessed in terms of the impact of disruptions to these

entities on the critical operations and established tolerance for disruption.

g. The BSFI's technology and security are critical in maintaining a safe and resilient operating environment. Therefore, BSFIs should adopt risk strategies responsive to the evolving threat landscape that employ an integrated and multi-layer approach, ensuring the critical operations' IT environment and information confidentiality, integrity, and availability through disruptive events. The BSFI should ensure that these strategies have adequate authentication, authorization, and auditing controls to maintain the security of the critical operations' IT environment. Likewise, the BSFI should evaluate the extent of its interconnectivity and implement appropriate risk mitigation measures to achieve its operational resilience goals and contribute towards improving the entire ecosystem.

4. Business Continuity Management (BCM) and Testing

- a. The BCM, including the business continuity plan (BCP), should be integrated into the operational resilience framework, in line with the BSFI's overall risk appetite. A forward-looking business continuity management that assesses the impact of potential disruptions on critical operations must be in place. In line with this, the BCM should cover the following:
 - i. business impact analyses and recovery strategies, as well as testing, awareness and training programmes;
 - ii. bespoke and detailed guidance on the implementation of the incident recovery plan for critical operations, including defining the triggers for invoking the BCM, outlining the roles and responsibilities for managing operational disruptions, providing clear cut rules on succession of authority in case of disruptions affecting key personnel, and the internal decision-making process; and
 - iii. communication and crisis management programs.
- b. Periodic business continuity exercises, encompassing the identified critical operations and their interconnections and key dependencies, must be in place, using a range of severe but plausible scenarios involving disruptive events and incidents. The scenarios must be informed by realistic

assumptions distinct to the BSFI and its operating environment, considering foreseen and unforeseen, but plausible occurrences. This may include pandemic, natural calamities, failure of key service providers, major cyber incidents. The BSFI may consider its own experiences or across the sector, or even cross-sectoral, as applicable, given the operating landscape. The BSFI can also leverage on the scenarios identified in its existing risk management framework and expand it to consider operational resilience aspects in the identification process.

- c. The manner and timing of the testing exercise should be proportionate to its size, risk profile and complexity. BSFIs shall employ a suitable mix of manner of testing (e.g., tabletop, simulations or live testing) depending on their characteristics, objectives and benefits. It should also account for and manage the risks arising from the conduct of testing. The timing and frequency of testing should be informed by a range of relevant factors, such as the number of identified critical operations, significant changes in the BSFI's operating environment or nature and severity of identified disruptions, and impact of the disruption.
- d. Competent and relevant personnel should be involved in the testing. The manner of testing will also drive, in part, the personnel, position and function required to be part of the exercise.
- e. The BSFI should consider that the business continuity testing should, among others, heighten the personnel's operational resilience knowledge and readiness to effectively adapt and respond to disruptions. The testing may disclose a vulnerability in one process or function related to the critical operations, which may require remediation efforts, such as identifying alternative channel or substitute, process or system enhancement or staff training. The testing may also disclose a scenario where there is a breach of set level of tolerance, which warrants Board and Senior Management action. The results of the testing exercise must be documented and reported to the Board. It must include, among others, the observed gaps or weaknesses as well as the adjustments made or needed to achieve operational resilience. The results of the testing exercise should also inform refinements or enhancements in the operational resilience plan, as appropriate.

f. BSFIs may leverage and/or enhance its existing BCM, disaster recovery and/or recovery and resolution plans to capture operational resilience principles and enable it to deliver critical operations through disruptions.

5. Respond to and recover from disruptive events

- a. BSFIs should acknowledge that disruptions will occur underscoring the importance of planning and testing should such events materialize. This requires a change in perspective towards operational disruptions from a probabilistic approach to an expectation that it will happen.
- b. When a disruption occurs affecting the BSFI's critical operations, the incident response plan should be activated. It should capture the life cycle of a disruption, contain key steps to handle the disruption to ensure delivery of critical operations and assess how it will affect the BSFI's risk appetite and tolerance for disruptions. It should also clearly delineate the roles and responsibilities and succession of authority during the disruption. Critical officers and personnel should be identified and/or designated who will decide and implement actions to ensure delivery of critical operations through disruptions.
- c. BSFIs should identify the full range of recovery options to enable critical operations to be maintained or restored within tolerance for disruption. As part of its incident response plan, the BSFI should maintain a catalogue to support its response capabilities such as key officers and personnel, internal and external resources that may be affected, response and recovery procedures and communication plan.
- d. Communication plan is a critical part of the operational resilience framework and incident management. An incident communication plan, with internal and external communication matrix, should be developed to ensure effective, timely and targeted communications during a disruption. This will ensure proper escalation and flow information, decisions, and updates to concerned stakeholders. Key resources and experts to serve as focal

spokesperson/s during disruptions should be identified for effective communication strategy.

- e. Operational risk tools such as loss events database should capture incidents that have the potential to disrupt the delivery of critical operations. For operational resilience, these incidents should be further examined to cover its full life cycle, from classification of incidents severity to response and recovery procedures, communication plans and root cause analysis to reflect lessons learned.
- f. The incident response plan should be periodically reviewed, tested, and updated, including lessons learned from previous disruptions.
- 6. Review, refine and update risk management and operational resilience framework.

Achieving operational resilience is a dynamic process. As such, learnings from experiences are critical to the continuous reinforcement of the BSFI's operational resilience approach. After experiencing and recovering from a disruption, a stocktaking of lessons learned will enable the BSFI to reflect on the key components of its operational resilience framework, the actions and decisions made, as well as identifying what has worked and what failed. This will be useful inputs to refining the operational resilience framework and its key elements, considering among others, reflections on the following:

- a. root cause of the disruption and the vulnerability/ies exploited;
- b. impact on the delivery of affected critical operations:
- c. adequacy of controls, actions, decisions made;
- d. duration of disruption until resumption of critical operations and if set tolerance for disruption remains; and
- e. what adjustments, if any, are needed to address remediate the gaps identified.

BSFIs are there required to periodically review, refine, and update the operational resilience framework, to ensure it is aligned with their business operations and activities. Other events that could trigger review and/or refinements of the framework include material changes in its business and operating environment, critical operations, and tolerance for disruptions.

Append	lix to Secti	ion

Objectives of the Self-Assessment Questionnaire

- 1. To provide an overview of the BSP-supervised financial institutions (BSFI)'s operational resilience (OR) capabilities and highlight priority areas for development; and
- 2. To support the Board and Senior Management, and the bank supervisors' understanding of the BSFI's OR capabilities and readiness and capture the BSFI's journey to achieving operational resilience.

<u>Timeline</u>

One year from the effectivity of the Circular - All BSFIs shall submit to the appropriate supervising department a transition plan using the Self-Assessment Questionnaire comprised of a gap analysis and action plans to achieve operational resilience.

Part I. Gap Analysis

Requirements of the Circular		BS	BSFI's Compliance		
	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	on-Compliant	
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³	
A. Governance Structure	 Has the BSFI identified a Board-level Committee in-charge of overseeing the integration of operational resilience principles in its existing risk management framework? Has the BSFI articulated the roles and responsibilities of the Board and Senior Management for operational resilience? 				

¹ This does not cover all the minimum considerations but suggestions of what may be looked into.

 $^{^2}$ Provide concise description of the BSFI's compliance (e.g. which document or process is the requirement contained)

³ Provide the key action plans, responsible unit/officer and timeline to achieve the requirement for operational resilience purposes

	Guide Questions ¹	BS	SFI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular		Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
	Has the BSFI defined the roles of the business units, compliance, and risk management functions, and internal audit functions for operational resilience?			
	What changes, if any, have been made in the existing bank guidelines/processes (operational risk management, business continuity, outsourcing, etc.) in order to align with operational resilience approach?			
	Has the BSFI identified material entities for a group-wide operational resilience framework?			
	 For foreign bank branches - Has the Head Office adopted an operational resilience framework? Is it being implemented for the Philippine Branch? 			
	 Has the Board approved the BSFI's operational resilience framework? 			
B. Key Elements of OR				
Determine critical operations, tolerance for disruption and severe but plausible scenarios				

		BSFI's Compliance		
uirements of the Guide Questions ¹ Circular	Fully compliant	Partially/Non-Compliant		
	Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³	
 Has the BSFI identified its critical operations? Did the Board approve the identified critical operations? 				
 How did the BSFI identify its critical operations? Please provide the criteria used, assumptions, and justifications on why said operations have been identified as critical. 				
 Are there any changes in the business model, processes or activities after identifying critical operations (e.g., resources allocation)? 				
What is/are the BSFI's tolerance for disruption? Has the Board approved the set tolerance for disruption?				
What is the BSFI's methodology or criteria in setting tolerance for disruption?				
Which personnel/unit(s) are responsible for monitoring that the BSFI is operating within its tolerance for disruption?				
	 Has the BSFI identified its critical operations? Did the Board approve the identified critical operations? How did the BSFI identify its critical operations? Please provide the criteria used, assumptions, and justifications on why said operations have been identified as critical. Are there any changes in the business model, processes or activities after identifying critical operations (e.g., resources allocation)? What is/are the BSFI's tolerance for disruption? Has the Board approved the set tolerance for disruption? What is the BSFI's methodology or criteria in setting tolerance for disruption? Which personnel/unit(s) are responsible for monitoring that the BSFI is operating 	Guide Questions¹ Fully compliant Details of Compliance² Has the BSFI identified its critical operations? Did the Board approve the identified critical operations? How did the BSFI identify its critical operations? Please provide the criteria used, assumptions, and justifications on why said operations have been identified as critical. Are there any changes in the business model, processes or activities after identifying critical operations (e.g., resources allocation)? What is/are the BSFI's tolerance for disruption? Has the Board approved the set tolerance for disruption? What is the BSFI's methodology or criteria in setting tolerance for disruption? Which personnel/unit(s) are responsible for monitoring that the BSFI is operating	Guide Questions¹ Fully compliant Details of Compliance² Identified Gaps Has the BSFI identified its critical operations? Did the Board approve the identified critical operations? How did the BSFI identify its critical operations? Please provide the criteria used, assumptions, and justifications on why said operations have been identified as critical. Are there any changes in the business model, processes or activities after identifying critical operations (e.g., resources allocation)? What is/are the BSFI's tolerance for disruption? Has the Board approved the set tolerance for disruption? What is the BSFI's methodology or criteria in setting tolerance for disruption? Which personnel/unit(s) are responsible for monitoring that the BSFI is operating	

		BS	FI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
	Is there a reporting mechanism in place, to notify the BSP, in case the BSFI breaches its tolerance for disruption!?			
c. Determining severe but plausible scenarios.	Has the BSFI identified scenarios that would directly impact its critical operations? Are these considered as severe and plausible scenarios? Why?			
	What is the basis for the severity of the scenarios?			
	Has the BSFI considered among its scenarios the "Big One" and the simultaneous and coordinated cyber attacks attacks among its scenarios?			
Map interconnections and interdependencies	Who is primarily responsible for overseeing and conducting the mapping activities involving critical operations?			
	Has the BSFI completed mapping the interconnections and interdependencies involving critical operations? If no, when is the expected timeline to complete?			
	 What are the key sources and resources used to support the BSFI's mapping? 			

		BS	FI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
	What are the key roles that support the delivery of critical operations and the plans in place for individuals fulfilling these key roles being unavailable?			
	 Are there outsourcing arrangements, if any, involved in the delivery of critical operations? Does the BSFI set requirements and coordinate with the service provider with regard to the expectations for operational resilience to ensure delivery of critical operations through disruption? 			
	 Has the BSFI identified any vulnerabilities in its mapping exercise? What are the vulnerabilities identified? Are action plans adopted or implemented to resolve such vulnerabilities? 			
	 How will the mapping be kept up to date? Who is responsibe for and what is the frequency of review/updating to ensure that it remains relevant and reflective of the BSFI's impact tolerances and critical operations? 			

		BS	FI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular	Calac Questions	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
Plan for and manage risks to delivery of critical operations.	<u> </u>			
	Are the identified disruptions or vulnerabilities affecting only one or more than one critical operation?			
	Based on the identified disruptions and vulnerabilities, are there sufficient plans, processes and resources to ensure delivery of critical operations throughout the disruptive events? What are the changes made to ensure delivery of critical operations throughout the disruptions?			
	What vulnerabilities still exist that have not yet been remediated or where the Board has accepted a risk level?			
	What vulnerabilities might arise from reliance on outsourced services, if any? Are action plans adopted or implemented to address those vulnerabilities to suport operational resilience.			
	Is there a periodic assessment of adequacy of controls and procedures affecting			

		BS	FI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
	 critical operations, including in cases of changes to its underlying components? Is there a change management process? Are existing change management capabilities utilized to assess potential effects on delivery of critical operations and their interconnections/interdependencies? Did the BSFI adopt strategies to ensure the critical operations' IT environment and information confidentiality, integrity and availability through disruptive events? 			
4. Business Continuity Management (BCM) and Testing	 Is the BCM integrated into the operational resilience framework? Has the BSFI covered the identified critical operations and defined tolerance for disruptions in its BCM and testing? If yes, what are the key changes made, if any. If no, how does the BSFI plan to incorporate these in its BCM to achieve operational resilience? 			

	Guide Questions ¹	BSFI's Compliance		
Requirements of the Circular		Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
	 Did the BCM consider the impact of potential disruptions on critical operations given the set tolerance for disruption? Does the BCM cover the critical elements, such as business impact analyses, incident reponse and recovery plan and communication plan, to support delivery of critical operations through disruptions and to keep the same within the tolerance level? Is there a periodic business continuity exercise based on a range of severe and plausible scenarios of disruptive events in relation to the critical operations? What are the identified scenarios and the assumptions used in identifying those events? Did the BSFI leverage on scenarios under existing risk management framework? What is the manner, timing and frequency of the testing exercise? Who leads this activity? 	Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³

		BS	FI's Compliance	
Requirements of the Circular	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
Circular		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
5. Respond to and recover from disruptive events	 How will the response and recovery strategies enable the BSFI to reduce material harm to the customers, BSFI and the financial system, caused by operational disruption on the critical operations? Has the BSFI developed strategies and procedures to mitigate harm caused by operational disruptions to consumers in particular, and minimize risk to market integrity? Has the BSFI developed an incident response plan to ensure delivery of critical operations throughout disruption? Does it cover the life cycle of the disruption, steps to ensure delivery of critical operations as well as the respective roles and responsibilities in its implementation? Has the BSFI developed internal and external communications plans in case of disruptions to the critical operations? What is the policy for periodic review of the 	Details of Compilance	identified Gaps	Action Plan
	incident response plan?			
6. Review, refine and update risk	Is there a database containing all incidents or disruptions that affect critical			

		BSFI's Compliance		
Requirements of the Circular	Guide Questions ¹	Fully compliant	Partially/No	n-Compliant
		Details of Compliance ²	Identified Gaps	Action Plan ³
management and operational resilience framework.	operations? Does it capture information on actions taken on these incidents?			
	 Has the BSFI developed a mechanism to regularly review these incidents and integrate the actions taken on the operational resilience framework and the overall enterprise-wide risk management? 			
	Who will initiate or lead the review or update of the operational resilience framework? How frequent is the review process?			
Additonal Question on the Se	elf-assessment Questionnaire (SAQ)	BSFI's Response:	<u> </u>	
	approval process for the SAQ? are responsible for preparing the SAQ?			

Appendix to Section _____

Part II. Summary

1. Overview of the BSFI's OR plan

Given the identified gaps and action plans, what is the BSFI's holistic transition plan in adopting an OR framework? What are the key vulnerabilities/gaps identified, and what is the BSFI's timeline to remediate this to achieve operational resilience?

2. Strategies

What the key strategies in becoming operationally resilient?

3. Challenges Encountered

What are the challenges/limitations encountered in the adoption and/or execution of the BSFI's OR Framework?

What are the key strategies of the BSFI to address these challenges and achieve operational resilience?

4. Board Approval

Provide details of Board approval on the accomplishment of the SAQ.

5. Remarks

This portion may also be used to provide additional information.